## Bluebell Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy Outcomes 2017-2018



1. Summary Information							
School: Bluebell Primary School							
Academic Year	2017/2018	Total PP Budget	£132,240.0	Date of most recent PP review	July 2017		
Total number of pupils	199	Number of pupils eligible for PP	97	Date for next internal review of this strategy	February 2018		

2. Prior Year's attainment (2016-2017)		
	Pupils eligible for PP	Pupils not eligible for PP (National average)
EYFS Good Level of Development	25%	
Year One Phonics check	59%	84%
Year Two phonics re-check	0%	
KS1 % achieving expected standard in reading, writing, maths	69%	
KS1 % achieving expected standard in reading,	77%	79%
KS1 % achieving expected standard in writing	69%	72%
KS1% achieving expected standard in maths	77%	79%
KS2 % achieving expected standard in reading, writing, maths	22%	67%
KS2 % achieving expected standard in reading	44%	77%
KS2 % achieving expected standard in writing	56%	
KS2 % achieving expected standard in maths	28%	80%
Progress measures for reading	-3.4	+0.33
Progress measure for writing	-0.1	+0.17
Progress measure for maths	-6.6	+0.28

## 3. Mission Statement

Pupil Premium funding at Bluebell Primary School is used to support staff in enabling children to remove any barriers to learning that they may have,

in order for them to progress inline with their peers and have more children attaining the expected standards and above in English and Maths at the end of each phase of learning.

## Barriers to future attainment for pupils eligible for PP

4. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability) These are general difficulties, not every child entitled to pupil premium will have these barriers.

In-se	In-school barriers:				
Α.	Low speech and language skills (especially in the Infants)				
в	Low prior attainment in the basic skills of reading, writing and maths				
С	Difficulty managing and regulating their own behaviours				
D	Poor attendance or punctuality for identified children				
Exte	External barriers				
Е	The impact of a low income on well being, family life, aspirations and experiences				
F	The impact of limited support at home due to other factors, parental mental health, parental engagement and abilities				

## Aims and outcomes

6. Desired Outcomes					
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Measured by			
Α	Improved speech and language skills enabling children to access the curriculum	Reports from Speech and Language assistant			
В	Accelerated progress and improved attainment across all classes in English and Maths	Pupil progress meetings, termly PIRA and PUMA assessments			
С	Identified children are managing to regulate their own behaviours and are able to participate in all areas of the curriculum	Boxall profile, emotional literacy assessments, number of exclusions/incidents reducing			
D	Improved attendance rates for all children, aiming for 95%	Attendance monitoring			

Ε

7. Planned expenditure						
Academic Year	2017-2018					
The three headings be support and support will	low enable schools to demonstrate hole school strategies.	how they are using the pupil premit	um to improve classroo	om pedagogy, provide targeted		
I. Quality of teaching	for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice	How will you ensure it is implemented	Evaluation		
Improved speech and language skills enabling children to access the curriculum	Speech and Language therapist in school 1 day a week to work with children and support staff in providing intervention plans for these children and implementing them	In school baseline data evidences that children enter school with a very low baseline around speaking, listening and communication.	Regular meeting with speech and language therapist. Half termly pupil progress meetings	25 children have had intensive support. Nursery morning children, Summer term 64% were at expected level of development a 20% increase from Autumn Nursery afternoon 61% at the end of Summer, and increase of 18% Reception 96% in Summer an increase of 34% from Autumn		
Accelerated progress and improved attainment across all classes in English and Maths	Phonics interventions to support learning Every Child a Reader Maths interventions Programmes to support and accelerate learning	Education Endownment Fund (EEF) and the Ofsted PP report highlight the importance of quality first teaching on attainment and progress of PP children	Half termly pupil progress meetings Regular phase leader meetings Monitoring by the	Phonics intervention has meant that 67% of our year one class have met the standard. ECAR show that 7 children have made on average 24 months		

Teaching assistant to	Deputy Head and phase leaders to support learning and teaching across the school through monitoring and mentoring colleagues across the school Inclusion lead to support teachers in ensuring support for SEND children is appropriate		SLT	increase of 15 <sup>o</sup> previous year KS1 67% read and 62% math decline from th however, on th attaining cohor KS2 Reading, combined for F 50% an increas previous year Although the n premium childr statutory miles increased, the pupil premium	dren attained d of Reception, an % from the ing, 44% writing s - all a slight le previous year, le whole a lower t writing and maths P children was se of 28% from umber of pupil ren attains tones has gap between and non-pupil ren remains wide
··	1	1	Total I	budgeted cost	£41,619
ii.Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and	How will you	Evaluation	

		rationale for this choice	ensure it is implemented	
Identified children are managing to regulate their own behaviours and are able to participate in all areas of the curriculum	Pastoral staff to provide specific interventions such as Kids Skills, or behaviour packages with the learning mentor. Specific behaviour plans drawn up for identified children with support from home	Education Endownment Fund have identified that targetted interventions for specific pupils around behaviour can have a large effect on attainment.	Half termly vulnerable children meetings Regular meetings with parents where there are specific behaviour plans	Behaviour plans for 5 particular children were successful in supporting children in managing behaviour and reduced the risk of exclusion. Learning mentor and pastoral team have worked with 43 of our PP children - just under 50% of our PP cohort on intervention packages to support behaviour, self esteem, friendships, anger management, feelings and wishes, gaining the voice of the child.
Pupils have positive attitudes towards school and enjoy being at school with improved well being	Pastoral team and learning mentors to provide specific interventions to support children with low self-esteem, and other social and emotional difficulties. Identified children will work individually or in small groups. Identified children invited to attend Nurture Group 3 afternoons a week for half a term	Evidence in school indicates that children learn better in class when they have had an opportunity to 'offload' their issues, they can then focus in class EEF found that Social and emotional learning programmes benefit disadvantaged children more than other pupils	Half termly vulnerable children meetings Evidence from emotional literacy assessments and boxall profiles	Learning mentor and pastoral team have worked with 43 of our PP children - just under 50% of our PP cohort on intervention packages to support behaviour, self esteem, friendships, anger management, feelings and wishes, gaining the voice of the child. Nurture group only happened for 1 terms due to changes in staffing. Teacher focussed on reading intreventions in year 2 and year 6 and the TA supported a specific pupil premium child with SEN in order for him to access the curriculum

Teacher 3 afternoons a week for Nurture group: £13,291.00 TA for 3 afternoons a week - (SD) -BNI's x 2 - £26,922 Learning Mentor - £18,000 1 day a week Inclusion Lead time - £10,488.60

			Total	budgeted cost	£71,701.6		
lii. Other approaches							
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice	How will you ensure it is implemented	Evaluation			
Improved attendance rates for all children, aiming for 95%	Regular attendance meetings with Attendance officer PSA to offer support where attendance is slipping or children are persistently late Medical evidence requests where appropriate Fast track where appropriate Fixed penalty notices where appropriate Court proceedings where appropriate	Children who are persistently late fall behind in their learning. Research from the Department for Education (DfE) March 2016 state that the higher the overall absence rate across a child's key stage, the lower the likely level of attainment at the end of the Key Stage.	Regular meetings with the attendance officer	13 pupil premium families were taken through the fast track process, all but 1 of the familie attendance improved, these ar being monitored. For the one family, court proceedings have been started. Attendance for PP 2017-2018 92.02% Auth 4.17% Unauthorised 3.8%			
To ensure that children maintain a healthy lifestyle and nutrition	Breakfast Club is at very subsidised cost to parents, so it is affordable to these families and the children get a filling healthy breakfast	Children who arrive at school hungry are not focussed on learning.	Children and staff's feedback on the effectiveness of breakfast club	15 PP children regularly attend breakfast club and enjoy it			

Breaklast Club stall wages - £9,810.0

Total budgeted cost	£21,145.00
---------------------	------------

Total £134,465.60

132,240.0-Actual mo